



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/114,973	07/14/1998	WILLIAM F. DOVE	960296.95491	9862
26734	7590 02/11/2003			
QUARLES & BRADY LLP FIRSTAR PLAZA, ONE SOUTH PINCKNEY STREET P.O. BOX 2113 SUITE 600			EXAMINER	
			WOITACH, JOSEPH T	
MADISON,	VI 53701-2113		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632	00
			DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/114,973

Applicant(s)

Dove et al.

Examiner

Joseph Woitach

Art Unit 1632



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sh	eet with	the correspondence address		
Period 1	for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				_ MONTH(S) FROM		
Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the						
mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.						
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
-	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	his communication, ev	ven if timely	filed, may reduce any		
Status	patent term department. Good of Griff (170 (16)).					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 25, 2	002		·		
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) \square This action	ion is non-final				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	tion of Claims			•		
4) 🗶	Claim(s) <u>1-42</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 💢	Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-31, and 34-42			is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 3, 32, and 33			is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗌 a	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	_	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
_						
3) [] In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Uther:				

Art Unit: 1632

DETAILED ACTION

This application filed July 14, 1998, is a continuation in part of application of 08/751,292, filed November 18, 1996, now US Patent 5,780,236.

Applicant's amendment filed December 2, 2002, paper number 29, has been received and entered. Claims 1, 7, 23, 25-28, 30, 36 and 40 have been amended. Claims 1-42 are pending and currently under examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3, 32 and 33 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Initially it is noted that claim amendments and applicant's arguments have obviated the each of the specific basis of rejection previously set forth for claims 1, 2, 4-31, 34-42. See Applicant's amendment, bridging pages 12-14.

With respect to claims 3 and 32 (and dependent claim 33) Applicants maintain as set forth in the specification that the skilled artisan understands the term and that it can only be determined by a case-by-case basis. By example, Applicants note that in some cases a level

Application/Control Number: 09/114,973 Page 3

Art Unit: 1632

below the tenth percentile or above he ninetieth percentile could be extreme, whereas in another case, extreme levels might be established a the second and 98th percentiles. See Applicant's amendment, bridging pages 12-13. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered, but not found persuasive.

As noted in Applicant's arguments, the term 'extreme' encompasses many degrees of variation which is subject to change under various circumstances. The specification does not specifically describe the metes and bounds of the term, nor does it describe how the artisan would determine the metes and bounds of the term in a case-by-case basis. Therefore, the term and claim is indefinite because what one artisan would consider extreme in one case, may not be considered extreme by a second artisan.

Conclusion

Claims 1, 2, 4-31, 34-42 are allowed. As noted in the previous office action the claims are free of the art of record because while cross-breeding for the analysis of a loci has been described in the art the art fails to teach the specific methodology instantly claimed. Further, the art fails to anticipate or make obvious the specific non-human animals made by the methods, in particular the non-human animals with specific genetic backgrounds comprising specific point mutations and various phenotype combinations would not have been generated with the cross-breeding methods previously described in the art.

Application/Control Number: 09/114,973

Art Unit: 1632

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

Page 4

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Joseph Woitach whose telephone number is (703)305-3732.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Deborah Reynolds, can be reached at (703)305-4051.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group analyst Dianiece Jacobs whose telephone number is (703) 308-2141.

Joseph T. Woitach

Deboral Cranch